

Exact Solutions and Geometric Phases of Dipole Oscillator in Rapidly Varying Electric Field *

Jian Qi Shen [†]

1. Center for Optical and Electromagnetic Research, State Key Laboratory of Modern Optical Instrumentation, College of Information Science and Engineering; 2. Zhejiang Institute of Modern Physics and Department of Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, P. R. China

(February 5, 2008)

The present letter obtains the exact solution and geometric phase of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation governing the dipole oscillator in the exterior electric field, by making use of the Lewis-Riesenfeld invariant theory and the invariant-related unitary transformation formulation. It is shown that the geometric phase presents the global property of the time evolution of the dipole oscillator in time-dependent environments.

PACS: 03.65.Bz, 03.65.Vf, 03.65.Fd

Many effects or properties such as the time evolution, geometric phase and topological property of the time-dependent $L-S$ coupled system and many-spin system (the Heisenberg spin system) as well as the spinning magnetic moment in exterior magnetic fields have been extensively studied by many authors [1–6]. Yan *et al.* discussed the time evolution of the Heisenberg spin system and therefore obtained the formally exact solutions of the Schrödinger equation governing this spin system in a time-dependent magnetic field [6]. The relationship between the unitary transformations of the dynamics of quantum systems with time-dependent Hamiltonians and the gauge theories has been found by Montesinos *et al.* [7]. The magnetic moment in time-dependent magnetic fields possesses so many interesting and significant effects and properties that we think that the dual of the magnetic moment, i. e., the electric dipole moment in time-dependent exterior fields [8] may also have such similarly significant effects and properties. Note, however, that the spinning magnetic moment of quantum systems is the quantum mechanical effect and therefore the generators of the Hamiltonian form the Lie algebraic commuting relations, which enables us to easily solve the time-dependent Schrödinger equation governing the time evolution of these quantum spinning systems, whereas the electric dipole is not the quantum mechanical effect and therefore no such Lie algebraic commuting relations exist in its Hamiltonian. Hence, we should quantize the electric dipole and consider it a quantum dipole oscillator driven by an exterior force, and then exactly solve the Schrödinger equation that governs this driven dipole oscillator in the presence of the time-dependent exterior electric field.

Berry's discovery that the adiabatic geometric phase of wave function arises in adiabatic quantum process [9] opens up new opportunities for investigating the global or topological properties of quantum evolution [10–14]. It is now well known that the geometric phase appears in systems with the time-dependent Hamiltonian, or in systems whose Hamiltonian possesses evolution parameters [15,16]. Differing from the dynamical phase that depends on dynamical quantities of systems, the geometric phase is independent of these dynamical quantities such as energy, frequency, velocity as well as coupling coefficients. Instead, it is only related to the geometric nature of the pathway along which quantum systems evolve. This, therefore, implies that the geometric phase presents the topological or global properties of quantum systems in time-evolution process, and that it possesses the physical significance and can thus be applied to various fields of physics [17–19]. Geometric phases attract attentions of many physicists in many fields such as gravity theory [10,11], differential geometry [20], atomic and molecular physics [12], nuclear physics [13], quantum optics [17,21,22], condensed matter physics [18,19,23], molecular systems and chemical reaction [12] as well.

Note, however, that Berry's adiabatic quantum theory can only deal with the adiabatic evolution of quantum systems [9]. If a quantum system is in the presence of the fast varying exterior field, then Berry's adiabatic theory is not appropriate to investigate the non-adiabatic non-cyclic time evolution of wave function of this quantum system. In this situation we should obtain the exact solutions of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation by using the invariant theory [24] and the invariant-related unitary transformation formulation [25]. It follows from the study of the spin model referred to earlier that the resolution of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation is often accompanied with obtaining the geometric phase in the quantum evolution process. The invariant theory suggested by Lewis and Riesenfeld [24] can exactly solve the time-dependent Schrödinger equation. Gao *et al.* proposed the generalized invariant theory [25,26], by introducing the basic invariants which enable one to find the complete set of commuting

*The problem in this paper is trivial, so that it won't be submitted elsewhere for publication. However, two useful approaches to the explicit solutions of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation are introduced in the present paper.

[†]E-mail address: jqshen@coer.zju.edu.cn

invariants for some time-dependent multi-dimensional systems [26]. Since the exact solutions for systems with the time-dependent Hamiltonians obtained by the invariant-related unitary transformation formulation contain both geometric and dynamical phase and, fortunately, the result is explicit rather than formal (namely, there exists no chronological product operator in wave function), the Lewis-Riesenfeld theory thus developed into a powerful tool for treating the time-dependent Schrödinger equation and the geometric phase factor.

Consider a dipole oscillator in the x - y plane of the Cartesian coordinate system with the time-dependent Hamiltonian $H(t) = H_1(t) + H_2(t) + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_1 + \omega_2)$ (in the unit $\hbar = 1$), where

$$H_1(t) = \omega_1 a^\dagger a + c(t)(a^\dagger + a), \quad H_2(t) = \omega_2 b^\dagger b + s(t)(b^\dagger + b) \quad (1)$$

with the time-dependent parameters $c(t) = QE\sqrt{\frac{1}{2\mu\omega_1}}\cos\Omega t$, $s(t) = QE\sqrt{\frac{1}{2\mu\omega_2}}\sin\Omega t$. In the expression for the Hamiltonian, $a^\dagger$ (a) and $b^\dagger$ (b) respectively stand for the creation (annihilation) operators of the driven dipole oscillators in x - and y - directions of the Cartesian coordinate; Q and E respectively denote the polarization charge of the electric dipole and the external electric field strength, which are considered the time-independent parameters, and Ω presents the rotating frequency of the exterior rotating electric field. The exact solution of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation is $|\Psi(t)\rangle = \exp[\frac{1}{2i}(\omega_1 + \omega_2)t]|\Psi_1(t)\rangle|\Psi_2(t)\rangle$, where $|\Psi_1(t)\rangle$ and $|\Psi_2(t)\rangle$ respectively satisfy the following equation

$$H_1(t)|\Psi_1(t)\rangle = i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\Psi_1(t)\rangle \quad (2)$$

and

$$H_2(t)|\Psi_2(t)\rangle = i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\Psi_2(t)\rangle. \quad (3)$$

We first solve Eq. (2) as an illustrative example by making use of the Lewis-Riesenfeld invariant theory and the invariant-related unitary transformation formulation. In accordance with the Lewis-Riesenfeld invariant theory, the particular exact particular solution $|\Psi_1(t)\rangle$ of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation Eq. (2) is different from the eigenstate of the invariant $I(t)$ only by a time-dependent c - number factor $\exp\{\frac{1}{i}\int_0^t\langle\lambda, t'|[H(t') - i\frac{\partial}{\partial t'}]|\lambda, t'\rangle dt'\}$ with $|\lambda, t\rangle$ being the eigenstate of the invariant $I(t)$ (corresponding to the particular eigenvalue λ) and satisfying the following eigenvalue equation

$$I(t)|\lambda, t\rangle = \lambda|\lambda, t\rangle, \quad (4)$$

where the eigenvalue λ of the invariant $I(t)$ is time-independent, so that it is the subject of this step to obtain the eigenstate $|\lambda, t\rangle$ of the invariant $I(t)$. The meanings of the invariant $I(t)$ of the time-dependent dipole oscillator is that $I(t)$ is a conserved operator and agrees with the following Liouville-Von Neumann equation

$$\frac{\partial I(t)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{i}[I(t), H_1(t)] = 0. \quad (5)$$

It follows from Eq. (5) that the invariant $I(t)$ may be constructed in terms of $a^\dagger a$, $a^\dagger$, a and the time-dependent c - number parameter $\Delta(t)$ as follows

$$I(t) = \alpha a^\dagger a + \eta(t)a^\dagger + \eta^*(t)a + \Delta(t), \quad (6)$$

where α is readily proved a time-independent c - number coefficient. From the Liouville-Von Neumann equation (5), it follows that there exists a set of auxiliary equations

$$\dot{\eta} + \frac{1}{i}(\alpha c - \eta\omega_1) = 0, \quad \dot{\eta}^* - \frac{1}{i}(\alpha c - \eta^*\omega_1) = 0, \quad \dot{\Delta} + \frac{1}{i}(\eta^* - \eta)c = 0, \quad (7)$$

which is used to determine the time-dependent parameters, $\eta(t)$, $\eta^*(t)$, and $\Delta(t)$, of the invariant.

It should be noted that we cannot directly solve Eq. (4) for the reason that the time-dependent parameters $\eta(t)$, $\eta^*(t)$, and $\Delta(t)$ are involved in Eq. (6). If, for example, we can find (or construct) a unitary transformation operator $V(t)$ to make $V^\dagger(t)I(t)V(t)$ be time-independent, then this problem of the eigenvalue equation of the invariant $I(t)$ is therefore resolved. According to our experience for utilizing the invariant-related unitary transformation formulation, we suggest a following unitary transformation operator

$$V(t) = \exp[-A(t)], \quad V^\dagger(t) = \exp[A(t)], \quad (8)$$

where $A(t) = \beta(t)a^\dagger - \beta^*(t)a$ with $\beta(t)$ and $\beta^*(t)$ being determined in what follows by calculating $I_V = V^\dagger(t)I(t)V(t)$.

Calculation of $I_V = V^\dagger(t)I(t)V(t)$ yields

$$I_V = \alpha a^\dagger a + (\eta - \beta\alpha)a^\dagger + (\eta^* - \beta^*\alpha)a + (\Delta - \beta\eta^* - \beta^*\eta + \beta^*\beta\alpha). \quad (9)$$

If β and β^* are chosen to be $\beta = \frac{\eta}{\alpha}, \beta^* = \frac{\eta^*}{\alpha}$, then by using the auxiliary equations (7) one can arrive at

$$\Delta - \beta\eta^* - \beta^*\eta + \beta^*\beta\alpha = 0. \quad (10)$$

This, therefore, means that we can change the time-dependent $I(t)$ into a time-independent I_V , and the result is $I_V = \alpha a^\dagger a$. The eigenvalue equation of I_V is $I_V |n\rangle = n\alpha |n\rangle$, and consequently the eigenvalue equation of $I(t)$ is $I(t)V(t) |n\rangle = n\alpha V(t) |n\rangle$. Further analysis shows that [24] the exact particular solution $|\Psi_1(t)\rangle$ of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation Eq. (2) is different from the eigenstate of the invariant $I(t)$ only by a time-dependent c - number factor $\exp[\frac{1}{i}\varphi(t)] = \exp\{\frac{1}{i}\int_0^t \langle n| V^\dagger(t')[H(t') - i\frac{\partial}{\partial t'}]V(t') |n\rangle dt'\}$.

Correspondingly, $H_1(t)$ is transformed into

$$\begin{aligned} H_{1V}(t) &= V^\dagger(t)H_1(t)V(t) - V^\dagger(t)i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}V(t) \\ &= \omega_1 a^\dagger a + [c(t) - \beta(t)\omega_1 + i\dot{\beta}(t)]a^\dagger + [c(t) - \beta^*(t)\omega_1 - i\dot{\beta}^*(t)]a \\ &\quad + [\beta^*(t)\beta(t)\omega_1 - \beta(t)c(t) - \beta^*(t)c(t)] + \frac{i}{2}[\dot{\beta}(t)\beta^*(t) - \dot{\beta}^*(t)\beta(t)] \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

under the unitary transformation $V(t)$. By using the auxiliary equations (7) and $\beta = \frac{\eta}{\alpha}, \beta^* = \frac{\eta^*}{\alpha}$, it is verified that $c(t) - \beta(t)\omega_1 + i\dot{\beta}(t) = 0$ and $c(t) - \beta^*(t)\omega_1 - i\dot{\beta}^*(t) = 0$ in $H_{1V}(t)$. Thus $H_{1V}(t)$ is rewritten as

$$H_{1V}(t) = \omega_1 a^\dagger a + [\beta^*(t)\beta(t)\omega_1 - \beta(t)c(t) - \beta^*(t)c(t)] + \frac{i}{2}[\dot{\beta}(t)\beta^*(t) - \dot{\beta}^*(t)\beta(t)] \quad (12)$$

and the time-dependent c - number factor $\exp[\frac{1}{i}\varphi(t)]$ is therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\exp\{\frac{1}{i}\int_0^t \langle n| V^\dagger(t')[H(t') - i\frac{\partial}{\partial t'}]V(t') |n\rangle dt'\} \\ &= \exp\{\frac{1}{i}\int_0^t [n\omega_1 + \beta^*(t')\beta(t')\omega_1 - \beta(t')c(t') - \beta^*(t')c(t') + \frac{i}{2}[\dot{\beta}(t')\beta^*(t') - \dot{\beta}^*(t')\beta(t')]]dt'\}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Hence the particular exact solution of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation (2) corresponding to the particular eigenvalue, n , of the invariant $I(t)$ may be written

$$|\Psi_1(t)\rangle = \exp[\frac{1}{i}\varphi(t)]V(t) |n\rangle. \quad (14)$$

In the same fashion, one can easily obtain the particular exact solution of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation (3) with which $|\Psi_2(t)\rangle$ agrees and in consequence $|\Psi(t)\rangle$ is thus exactly solved by using the Lewis-Riesenfeld invariant theory.

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(t) &= B \exp(-i\omega_1 t) - \frac{\alpha Q E \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\mu\omega_1}}(-\omega_1 \cos \Omega t + i\Omega \sin \Omega t)}{\omega_1^2 - \Omega^2}, \\ \eta^*(t) &= B \exp(i\omega_1 t) - \frac{\alpha Q E \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\mu\omega_1}}(-\omega_1 \cos \Omega t - i\Omega \sin \Omega t)}{\omega_1^2 - \Omega^2} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

from the auxiliary equations $\dot{\eta} + \frac{1}{i}(\alpha c - \eta\omega_1) = 0$ and $\dot{\eta}^* - \frac{1}{i}(\alpha c - \eta^*\omega_1) = 0$. Thus the time-dependent parameters $\beta(t)$ and its complex conjugate $\beta^*(t)$ in the particular exact solutions of the time-dependent Schrödinger equations are solved by using the relations $\beta(t) = \frac{\eta(t)}{\alpha}$ and $\beta^*(t) = \frac{\eta^*(t)}{\alpha}$. If we choose the coefficient B to be $-\frac{\alpha Q E \sqrt{\frac{\omega_1}{2\mu}}}{\omega_1^2 - \Omega^2}$, then

the time-evolution operator, $U_1(t) = \exp[\frac{1}{i}\varphi(t)]V(t)$, of $|\Psi_1(t)\rangle$ may be $U_1 = 1$ at the initial $t = 0$. Apparently, it is seen as declared above that the exact solution obtained here does not involve the chronological product operator and may be considered the explicit solution.

The global property of the geometric phase $\varphi^{(g)}(t) = \frac{i}{2} \int_0^t \{[\dot{\beta}(t')\beta^*(t') - \dot{\beta}^*(t')\beta(t')]\} dt'$ may be seen from the following flux expression for

$$\varphi^{(g)}(T) = \frac{i}{2} \oint_C [(\nabla\beta)\beta^* - (\nabla\beta^*)\beta] \cdot d\mathbf{l} = i \int \int_{\mathbf{s}} [(\nabla\beta^*) \times (\nabla\beta)] \cdot d\mathbf{s}, \quad (16)$$

with the calculation being performed in the parameter space where the nabla ∇ is defined to be $dt = d\mathbf{l} \cdot \nabla$. The global and topological property of the geometric phase $\varphi^{(g)}(t) = \frac{i}{2} \int_0^t \{[\dot{\beta}(t')\beta^*(t') - \dot{\beta}^*(t')\beta(t')]\} dt'$ may also be shown by comparing it with the connection 1- form $i \langle z | d | z \rangle = -\text{Im} z^* z = \frac{1}{2} (pdq - qdp)$ of the Glauber's coherent state $|z\rangle = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}z^*z) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle$ where $z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(q + ip)$. Since it is well known that the loop integration $\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \oint_C i \langle z | d | z \rangle$ with the curve C being in the complex z - phase plane presents the area surrounded by the curve in the complex z - plane and is considered the Berry's phase, and the expression for geometric phase $\varphi^{(g)}(t)$ is in analogy with γ , the topological property of the geometric phase $\varphi^{(g)}(t)$ is thus indicated by this comparison. It is worth noticing again that the Berry's quantum phase theory [9] can only deal with the adiabatic case of the above quantum system (i. e., where the rotating frequency Ω of the varying exterior field is very small). It is readily verified that when $\Omega \rightarrow 0$, the geometric phase expressed by (16) is reduced to the Berry's phase that can be calculated by the Berry's phase formula [9]. In our formulation, however, we can study the non-adiabatic non-cyclic geometric phase of the dipole oscillator, even the geometric phase in the case of $\Omega \rightarrow \infty$.

This letter considers the exact solution and the geometric phase of the electric dipole in the presence of the swiftly varying electric field. Since the Berry's adiabatic quantum theory can only deal with the adiabatic geometric phase and the time-dependent evolution of dipole oscillator in the slowly varying electric field, we make use of the Lewis-Riesenfeld theory and obtain the exact solution of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation governing the time evolution of the dipole oscillator and the expression for the geometric phase arising in the non-adiabatic non-cyclic evolution process caused by the exterior field. Since we have obtained the exact solution of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation and in consequence get the expression for the non-adiabatic geometric phase of the dipole oscillator in varying environment, and all these results are explicit rather than formal (i. e., no chronological product operator is involved in the exact solution and the geometric phase), we think that the method presented in this letter has several advantages over those based on the Berry's adiabatic phase formula and deserves further consideration.

Acknowledgements The author greatly acknowledges helpful suggestions from X. C. Gao.

-
- [1] Bouchiat C and Gibbons G W 1988 *J. Phys. (Paris)* **49** 187
 - [2] Datta N et al 1989 *Phys. Rev. A* **40** 526
 - [3] Mizrahi S S 1989 *Phys. Lett. A* **138** 465
 - [4] Gao X C, Xu J B and Qian T Z 1991 *Phys. Lett. A* **152** 449
 - [5] Cen L X, Wang S J, Ying Z J and Zhang C H 1999 *Phys. Lett. A* **256** 329
 - [6] Yan F, Yang L and Li B 1999 *Phys. Lett. A* **251** 289
 - [7] Montesinos M and Lorenzana A P 1999 *Phys. Rev. A* **60** 2554
 - [8] Wang L M, Luo Y and Ma B K 2002 *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **19** 846
 - [9] Berry M V 1984 *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* **392** 45
 - [10] Furtado C and Bezerra V B 2000 *Phys. Rev. D* **62** 045003
 - [11] Shen J Q, Zhu H Y and Li J 2001 *Acta Phys. Sin.* **50** 1884 (in Chinese)
 - [12] Wu Y S and Kuppermann A 1993 *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **201** 178
 - [13] Wagh A G et al 2000 *Phys. Lett. A* **268** 209
 - [14] Sanders B C et al 2001 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86** 369
 - [15] Shen J Q, Zhu H Y and Shi S L 2002 *Acta Phys. Sin.* **51** 536 (in Chinese)
 - [16] Shen J Q, Zhu H Y, Shi S L and Li J 2002 *Phys. Scr.* **65** 465
 - [17] Gong L F, Li Q and Chen Y L 1999 *Phys. Lett. A* **251** 387
 - [18] Taguchi Y et al 2001 *Science* **291** 2573
 - [19] Falci G et al 2000 *Nature* **407** 355
 - [20] Simon B 1983 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51** 2167

- [21] Shen J Q, Zhu H Y and Mao H 2002 *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **71** 1440
- [22] Yang L G and Yan F L 2000 *Phys. Lett. A* **265** 326
- [23] Exner P and Berry V A 2000 *Phys. Lett. A* **276** 16
- [24] Lewis H R and Riesenfeld W B 1969 *J. Math. Phys.* **10** 1458
- [25] Gao X C, Xu J B and Qian T Z 1991 *Phys. Rev. A* **44** 7016
- [26] Gao X C, Fu J, Li X H and Gao J 1998 *Phys. Rev. A* **57** 753